

Case Study



Answer Consulting – ParentMail Upgrade

Background

ParentMail is a website used by schools to facilitate communication between the school and parents. ParentMail has a registered 3.5 million user base. A new version, ParentMail 2, was commissioned in 2011 to consolidate features that had been added over the year and make it easier to cater for individuals with differing. Answer Consulting were commissioned by PeopleWiz (owners of ParentMail) to develop the new website, and Autism Works were commissioned by Answer Consulting to carry out independent software testing.

Autism Works has been involved in two phases - the release of an original feature-enhanced site and the implementation on overhauled registration functions.

General

Scope of Testing Engagement

The Initial scope was to complete the manual testing of the Parent Mail Communications release as the test scripts had already been written and signed off by the client, we were tasked to run the tests and report on the defects found and retest the defect fixes. Defects were logged using JIRA, a system managed by Answer with access granted to Autism Works' Test Analysts.

Automated data preparation was carried out using Selenium IDE. The Automated Selenium scripts were handed over to form the basis of the regression test pack.

Self-Registration Release

For the self-Registration release Autism Works scoped the testing identified the test scenarios and wrote the test scripts, all test scenarios were reviewed by the client and signed off. We then executed all the tests reported the defects and retested the defect fixes. We also automated the test scenarios using Selenium and added these to the regression pack.

Test Management

The project was run utilising an Agile methodology. Daily scrum meetings were held via video conference to update on progress. Each scrum covered any issues and which area the testing would concentrate on next. To complement the morning calls Autism Works communicated via instant messenger and telephone calls when necessary throughout the project.

Original site

It was originally intended that ParentMail 2 would be delivered in two phases. The first phase would contain the functionality required to register users (parents, staff and pupils), create groups, and send messages (either as an "eLetter" or SMS). The second phase would contain the remaining functionality, including managing school trips, ticketed events, dinner money and school shop. The plan was to roll out the first phase to a number of schools signed up for beta testing.



Case Study



Autism Works was brought into testing of this first phase to introduce a more robust structure around the software testing and to free the Programming Team to focus on development rather than testing.

As is usual when initially looking at the system with "fresh eyes" a number of defects were found at an early phase, largely because the issues had not been detected during component/integration testing, and/or regression bugs arose after the component was originally written. Due to the pressing launch date, a further issue was that the website was being redeployed with updates on a frequent basis and sometimes several times in the same day. This meant that test data had to be created from scratch after every rebuild, and manually creating this data each time would have been heavily time-consuming.

Autism Works overcame this problem by creating a series of data preparation scripts that automated the steps, with minimal manual intervention. This made it possible to rapidly deploy automated test scripts in a minimal amount of time. It is estimated that this process saved Answer Consulting at least 30 minutes per deployment by handing this task to Autism Works. These scripts were shared with Answer to support their own component level testing.

Testing was primarily based on a set of regression test cases that had been agreed between Answer Consulting and PeopleWiz as the basis for acceptance testing. Autism Works supplemented these tests with its own tests in areas that, in the opinion of their Test Analysts, were believed to be problematic or high-risk. After three weeks of intensive testing, 150 bugs and issues were raised, including nineteen rated as critical or blocker and fifty-two rated as major. Combined with bug fixing on Answer's part, there was a noticeable improvement in the stability of the system.

Self-registration

Following Phase One testing, PeopleWiz took the decision to overhaul the system for registering users. The aim was to replace a labour-intensive system with a system where some basic data would be imported from an external data source. This new feature brought a number of consequential changes to existing features in the system, which the automated scripts highlighted.

During the next release Autism Works had a longer timescale for testing and a longer period to prepare. This enabled Autism Works to plan the testing in a structured manner, with a written set of step-by-step test scripts and a coverage matrix to ensure that all new functions, rules, and requirements were tested at least once. The scripts were also designed to cover as many different combinations as possible of the data likely to arise in the live environment. In total, thirty-five scripts were developed covering 165 test conditions.

The expected results were based on the change documentation agreed between Answer and PeopleWiz. Where necessary, this was supplemented by the Test Analysts examining the website, but only where this was compatible with system requirements. System behaviour was queried with Answer where there were doubts. During this preparation period, forty-eight bugs and issues were raised, of which three were critical or blockers and fourteen were major.

The formal testing phase took place on the 21^{st} - 22^{nd} December. The results were variable, with some areas found to be error-free whilst other areas were discovered to have bugs in unexpected places, some of them causing significant problems. Twenty bugs and issues were raised during these two days. None were critical or blockers but nine were major.



Case Study



The major issues raised were then fixed over the following few working days, with Autism Works retesting and confirming these fixes. During this post-test run period, fifty-five further bugs and issues were raised, including twenty-one major bugs, but the major issues raised here were also fixed and re-tested. Overall, based on the formal test run and the subsequent testing, the self-registration functions could be shown to be fit for beta deployment.

The regression tests were also run during this period, to check that no regression bugs had been introduced into the rest of the system. No significant regression issues were found during this testing.

Based on this testing, Answer Consulting was able to recommend deployment to the beta testing environment. This took place in late January and, so far, the system has been seen to operate reliably in the live environment.

And finally...

"Our engagement with Autism Works was based upon critical business need. With only a matter of a few weeks ahead of the launch of a major new service to 3.5 million registered users, we recognised the need to introduce a more robust structure around our software testing. The project was in-flight, the launch day set and so we needed an organisation that would step up with credible practices and a competent team. There was no time for any altruistic justification; Autism Works had to prove themselves from day one.

The commitment and professionalism from the whole team was instantly evident and they truly exceeded expectation. Significantly, we launched the service to plan and the quality and performance of the software has proved to be of the highest standards. We will continue to engage with Autism Works because they deliver; the fact that we are supporting a socially innovative business simply makes it that bit more rewarding."

Gary Parlett Managing Director Answer Consulting

seeDetail is the trading name of Autism Works Limited, a Social Enterprise that offers the opportunity of sustainable employment to people with an autism spectrum condition or Asperger's Syndrome in the field of software testing. More information can be found at:

- www.seedetail.co.uk
- www.autismworks.co.uk